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## SUMMARY

A new flow control system has been developed for high-performance liquid chromatography, which consists of a flow sensor (a bubble flow tube) connected to the detector outlet, an electro-pneumatic controiler and a pressure control valve for a pneumatic amplifier pump.

The flow sensor measures the volumetric flow-rate of the carrier from the column with no influence of viscosity changes. The system keeps the flow-rate of the carrier constant within an accuracy of $\pm 0.3 \%$.

A high-performance liquid chromatograph equipped with this flow controller provides various advantages:
(1) The repeatability of the retention time and peak area is better than $\pm 0.5 \%$, even in gradient elution analysis.
(2) The flow-rate is set digitally with no calibration factor for any type of carrier.
(3) The real flow-rate is indicated every few seconds on a recorder or a meter.
(4) Calibration procedures involved in gradient rate changes can be eliminated.

## INTRODUCTION

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) has become a very important technique in analytical chemistry, and the repeatability and the accuracy of the results obtained are becoming increasingly important.

One of the important parameters that affects the analytical accuracy is the flow-rate of the carrier. The causes of changes in this flow-rate in liquid chromatographic systems have been suggested ${ }^{1.2}$ to be leakage at the piston seal or check valve in a reciprocating piston pump, liquid compressibility under high pressure in a constant displacement pump, and carrier viscosity changes owing to changes in temperature and/or composition in a pneumatic amplifer pump. In addition to these factors, the column permeability, which is influenced by the settling and swelling of the packing in the column, and clogging in a line filter are also causes of changes in niow-rate. Further, in gradient elution chromatography, the composition of the carrier changes with time, which is accompanied by changes in viscosity and liquid volume. All of these factors adversely affect the analytical results.

Jackson and Henry introduced a feed-back flow controller in order to overcome these problems ${ }^{3}$. They employed a pressure transducer as a flow-sensing element, which monitors the pressure drop ( $\Delta p$ ) across a calibrated fiow restrictor. Therefore, their flow controller could not compensate for flow changes due to changes in viscosity and temperature.

In this study, the volumetric fiow-rate of the carrier was measured at the outlet of the analytical column and this flow-rate was kept constant by using new electro-pneumatic flow controller. The design, characteristics and some applications of this flow controller in HPLC are described.

## EXPERIMENTAL

## Flow meter design

In order to eliminate viscosity-dependent characteristics involved in the method which monitors $\Delta p$ across a flow restrictor, we employed a bubble fow tube, which is capable of measuring the volumetric flow-rate directly.

The flow meter is illustrated in Fig. 1. A very small air stream, for instance $40 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$, is introduced into the carrier flow through a small nozzle, and bubbles are formed periodically. The air line consists of a very narrow restrictor tube, a $60-\mathrm{m}$ stainless-steel pipe of 0.08 mm I.D. and a pressure regulator that supplies a constant pressure of about 5-6 atm to this narrow restrictor tube so that the air fiow-rate is kept constant, independent of changes in the carrier flow-rate and/or viscosity. With an aqueous carrier, bubbles are formed about every 20 sec and with methanol about every 5 sec .

The bubbles fiow successively into a transparent flow tube (glass, 1 mm I.D.) and the time of travel between two points a definite distance apart on the flow tube ( 32 mm ) is measured photoelectrically.

The iniet-side photo-transistor detects the entry of an air bubble into this flow tube by the change in intensity of the light from a light-emission diode, and starts the


Fig. 1. The flow meter.
integrator circuit integrating a certain constant voltage with respect to time. The outlet-side photo-transistor detects the exit of the bubble and stops the integration. Thus, the integrator output voltage is proportional to the time of travel of the bubble and is inversely proportional to the sum of the bubble and carrier flow-rates.

The integrated voltage is held until the next bubble is measured and is used as the feed-back control signal. For direct reading purposes, this integrated voltage is converted into an inverse number. Then the air fiow-rate, which has been measured independently, is subtracted from this inverted value to give the carrier fiow-rate on a strip-chart recorder or a meter.

## Flow control system

A pneumatic amplifier pump (Haskel, Burbank, Calif., U.S.A.) was used as the pumping system. In this constant-pressure system the carrier fiow-rate changes slowly owing to a change in the column back-pressure (i.e., caused by settling of the packing, or by swelling, etc.), or changes in the viscosity due to temperature or when solvent gradients are used. The time lag from the change in pressure of the air supply to the resulting carrier flow-rate change at the column outlet is relatively large. In view of these system characteristics and the fact that the flow-rate measurement is discontinuous, we employed a control system in which a control signal is fed back to re-set the setting of a precision pressure controller (booster relay) for the pneumatic amplifier pump. The control system is illustrated in Fig. 2 and a block diagram of the electronic control circuits is shown in Fig. 3.

As shown in Fig. 3, the flow-rate is set by using a digital switch in the voltage that is inversely proportional to the total flow-rate of the carrier and air. The integrator output voltage is compared with this pre-set voltage and the deviation is amplified in the differential amplifier circuit. This amplified deviation is fed to a motor drive circuit via a linearizer, which converts the deviation into a value proportiona! to the actual fiow-rate deviation, and the motor is driven during the time proportional


Fig. 2. Flow diagram of DuPont 830 liquid chronatograph with flow controller.


Fig. 3. Block diagram of electrical circuit for flow controller.
to the flow-rate deviation to rotate the needle valve shaft. The driving time can be adjusted by a gain controller.

As shown in Fig. 2, the needle valve is in the pneumatic control line. The pneumatic control line has a mass flow controller, a needle valve and a precision booster relay, and provides the following functions.

The mass flow controller always maintains a flow velocity of about $2 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{min}$, independent of the flow resistance of the needle valve. Hence the resistance change of the needle valve according to the shaft rotation by the motor causes a change in the inlet pressure of the needle valve. This pressure is supplied to the setting side of the precision booster relay to control the air pressure to the pneumatic amplifier pump so that the column inlet pressure is regulated so as to maintain the carrier flow-rate constant.

As described above, there is some time delay in the control loop and the measurement of the flow-rate is discontinuous. Therefore, the amount of feed-back must be suppressed so as to follow the set flow-rate gradually. The relationships between the kind of carrier and the amount of feed-back were carefully examined.

## Liquid chromatograph

The liquid chromatograph used was a DuPont Model 830 instrument equipped with gradient elution accessories. A photograph of the combined Model 830 instrument and the flow controller (on the left) is shown in Fig. 4.


Fig. 4. Liquid chromatograph with fiow controller (left-hand module).

The liquid chromatographic column ( $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ l.D.) was packed with Permaphase ODS (DuPont, Wilmington, Del., U.S.A.). The carriers were distilled water and reagent-grade methanol. The samples were fused-ring aromatic hydrocarbon standards such as naphthalene, biphenyl, anthracene, pyrene, chrysene and benzo[a]pyrene. Sample injections were made with a high-pressure sampling valve. The sample volume was $12 \mu \mathrm{l}$.

## Other equipment

A Model ITG-4A and Chromatopac-1A (Shimadzu Seisakusho Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) digital integrator was used. In addition to a DuPont gradient programmer, a Model GRE-1 multi-linear gradient programmer (Shimadzu Seisakusho Ltd.) was also used.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Flow-rate measurement and control

In the flow meter, if the back-pressure at the air-bubble former (nozzle) is changed, the air flow-rate is also changed and this change causes an error in the measurement of the carrier flow-rate. In order to avoid this erroneous measurement, it is necessary to keep the back-pressure as low as possible and to take precautions so that the infiuence of the change in back-pressure is as small as possible. In this study, the back-pressure was kept as low as $0.01 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, and the air pressure supply was as high as $5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Hence the air flow-rate was maintained constant.

It was observed that in the measurement of flow-rate, the resistance change in the narrow restrictor tube and the change in the length of the flow tube by fluctuations in the ambient temperature were negligibly small.

The relationship between the set flow-rate and the real flow-rate controlled by the flow controller is shown in Table I. The real flow-rate was measured by the gravimetric method using water as carrier. The linearity is better than approximately $1 \%$ in the range from 1.0 to $4.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ in water. As a result, the controller is capable of covering a range from 0.3 to $4.9 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$.

TABLE I
RELATION BETWEEN SET FLOW-RATE AND REAL FLOW-RATE Carrier, water; bubble interval, about 20 sec .

| Set fow-rate (ml/min) | Real flow-rate (mI/min) | Deviation (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.0 | 0.995 | 0.5 |
| 2.0 | 2.020 | 1.0 |
| 3.0 | 3.024 | 0.8 |
| 4.5 | 4.524 | 0.5 |

Fig. 5 shows an example of actual control functions. It shows the recorder trace of $0.8 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ of water with the full scale of recorder set to $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. The results indicate that the flow-rate is controlled to within $\pm 0.2-0.3 \%$ of the set flow-rate.


Fig. 5. Example of flow-rate record. One division corresponds to $0.01 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Application in isocratic elution chromatography

Fig. 6 is an example of a high-performance liquid chromatogram of fusedring aromatic hydrccarbon standards obtained by using a Model 830 liquid chromatograph equipped with the fiow controller. The flow-rate was also recorded simultaneously. Five peaks were recorded in the order solvent, unknown, biphenyl, an-


Fig. 6. Curomatogram for reproducibility cheek. Operating conditions: column, Fermaphase ODS, $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; temperature, $50^{\circ}$; carrier, methanol-water (3:2); flow-rate, $0.8 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$; detector, UV photometer ( 254 nm ) at $64 \cdot 10^{-2}$ a.u.f.s.

TABLE II
REPRODUCIBILITY OF RETENTION TIME IN ISOCRATIC ELUTION
Column, Pemaphase ODS; $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; temperature, $50^{\circ}$; carrier, methanol-water (3:2); flow-rate, $0.8 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$; detector, UV photometer at 254 nm .

| Run No. | Retention time (min) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Solvent | Unknown | Biphenyl | Anthracene | Pyrene |
| 1 | 1.63 | 2.80 | 3.54 | 6.51 | 10.64 |
| 2 | 1.64 | 2.81 | 3.55 | 6.52 | 10.64 |
| 3 | 1.64 | 2.81 | 3.55 | 6.50 | 10.61 |
| 4 | 1.64 | 2.81 | 3.55 | 6.50 | 10.61 |
| 5 | 1.65 | 2.81 | 3.55 | 6.50 | 10.62 |
| 6 | 1.65 | 2.82 | 3.55 | 6.51 | 10.63 |
| 7 | 1.65 | 2.81 | 3.55 | 6.51 | 10.62 |
| 8 | 1.65 | 2.81 | 3.55 | 6.51 | 10.62 |
| 9 | 1.64 | 2.81 | 3.54 | 6.51 | 10.62 |
| 10 | 1.65 | 2.81 | 3.55 | 6.51 | 10.63 |
| 11 | 1.65 | 2.82 | 3.56 | 6.52 | 10.66 |
| 12 | 1.64 | 2.81 | 3.55 | 6.52 | 10.65 |
| Average | 1.6442 | 2.8108 | 3.5492 | 6.52 | 10.629 |
| $\sigma$ | 0.0054 | $0.00 \div 93$ | 0.00493 | 0.00707 | 0.01439 |
| Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  |
| of variation | $0.39 \%$ | $0.175 \%$ | $0.139 \%$ | $0.109 \%$ | $0.141 \%$ |

thracene and pyrene. The carrier was $60 \%$ methanol in water. A UV 254 absorption detector was used at the full-scale range of $64 \cdot 10^{-2}$ absorbance unit. The flow-rate was $0.8 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Under such conditions, the reproducibilities of the retention time and peak area were determined and the results are shown in Tables II and III.

TABLE III
REPRODUCIBILITY OF PEAK AREA IN ISOCRATIC ELUTION
Operating conditions as in Table II.

| Run No. | Peaí area ratio |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Biphenyl/anthracene | Pyrene/anthracene |
| 1 | 0.4228 | 0.6751 |
| 2 | 0.4206 | 0.6747 |
| 3 | 0.4204 | 0.6864 |
| 4 | 0.4176 | 0.6738 |
| 5 | 0.4179 | 0.6740 |
| 6 | 0.4194 | 0.6773 |
| 7 | 0.4187 | 0.6753 |
| 8 | 0.4161 | 0.6703 |
| 9 | 0.4168 | 0.6717 |
| 10 | 0.4172 | 0.6745 |
| 11 | 0.1199 | 0.6758 |
| 12 | 0.4159 | 0.6750 |
| Average | 0.4186 | 0.00395 |
| $\pi$ | 0.00197 | $0.585 \%$ |
| Coefficient |  |  |
| of variation | $0.472 \%$ |  |

It can be seen from Table II that the reproducibility of the retention time was excellent. The coefficients of vaniation were $0.39 \%$ for the solvent peak, $0.175 \%$ for the unknown peak, $0.139 \%$ for biphenyl, $0.109 \%$ for anthracene and $0.141 \%$ for pyrene.

The reproducibility of the peak area measured by a digital integrator (Shimadzu ITG-4A) is shown in Table III. The reproducibilities of the peak area ratio of biphenyl to anthracene and pyrene to anthracene are 0.472 and $0.585 \%$ (coefficients of vaniation), respectively.

## Application in gradient elution chromatography

The change in flow-rate in gradient elution chromatography using the flow controller is shown in Fig. 7. In this instance the carrier was varied from $100 \%$ water to $100 \%$ methanol at a gradient rate of $5 \% / \mathrm{min}$. As can be seen from Fig. 7, the flowrate is kept constant even in the gradient elution operation. Thus, the gradient elution chromatography is carried out with no effect from changes in flow-rate, and the separation is expected to be governed only by the characteristics of the solvent.


Fig. 7. Flow-rate during gradient elution using fow controller. Operating conditions: column, Permaphase ODS, $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; temperature, $50^{\circ}$, carrier, from $100 \%$ water to $100 \%$ methanol at the rate of $5 \% / \mathrm{min}$.

Table IV illustrates the reproducibility of the retention times in gradient elurion chromatography. This analysis was carried out in 2 days and the results demonstrate that a reproducibility of better than $0.3 \%$ is obtained for all peaks.

Constant fiow operations in gradient elution chromatography have a great advantage in quantitative analysis. The effects of the gradient rate on peak retention

TABEE [V
REPRODUCIBILETY OF RETENEION TME EN GRADIENE ELUTION
Column, Permaphase ODS, $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; temperature, $50^{\circ}$; carrier, from $100 \%$ water to $100 \%$ methanol at the rate of $4 \% / \mathrm{min}$; flow-rate, $1.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$; detector, UV ( 254 nm ) at $64 \cdot 10^{-2}$ a.u.f.s.

| Run No. | Retention time (min) |  |  |  |  | Benzo[e]- <br> pyrene | Benzo[a]pyrene |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Naphthalene | Biphenyl | Anthracene | Pyrene | Chrysene |  |  |
| 1 | 12.38 | 16.08 | 19.22 | 21.72 | 23.69 | 25.53 | 25.91 |
| 2 | 12.33 | 16.09 | 19.25 | 21.74 | 23.69 | 25.50 | 25.92 |
| 3 | 12.47 | 16.23 | 19.35 | 21.82 | 23.75 | 25.54 | 25.94 |
| 4 | 12.42 | 16.17 | 19.28 | 21.79 | 23.70 | 25.50 | 25.90 |
| 5 | 12.38 | 16.19 | 19.28 | 21.78 | 23.72 | 25.52 | 25.91 |
| 6 | 12.39 | 16.18 | 19.31 | 21.77 | 23.71 | 25.50 | 25.89 |
| 7 | 12.37 | 16.14 | 19.26 | 21.76 | 23.66 | 25.44 | 25.86 |
| 8 | 12.35 | 16.12 | 19.25 | 21.72 | 23.17 | 21.41 | 25.83 |
| 9 | 12.35 | 16.12 | 19.23 | 21.75 | 23.69 | 25.47 | 25.88 |
| 10 | 12.38 | 16.13 | 19.22 | 21.69 | 23.69 | 25.43 | 25.85 |
| Average | 12.383 | 16.145 | 19.265 | 21.753 | 23.687 | 25.484 | 25.889 |
| $\sigma$ | 0.038 | 0.045 | 0.039 | 0.037 | 0.036 | 0.042 | 0.032 |
| Coefficient of variation | 0.307\% | 0.276\% | 0.204\% | 0.172\% | 0.168\% | 0.166\% | 0.125\% |

time and area are shown in Tables V, VI and VII. The gradient rate examined is also shown in Fig. 8 . For the purpose of comparison, the effects of carrier composition on retention time and area in isocratic elution chromatography are shown in Table VIII and the chromatogram is shown in Fig. 9.


Fig. 8. Gradient function.

It was observed clearly that the effect of changes in the carrier composition on the peak area is fairly small. Therefore, when the concentration-sensitive detector (e.g., a UV absorption detector) is used, the re-calibration procedures associated with gradient rate change can be expected to be eliminated.
TABLEV
EFAPCTS OF GRADIENT RATE ON RETENTION THME AND PEAK AREA
Column, Permaphase ODS, $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; temperature, $55^{\circ}$; detector, UV ( 254 nm ); carricr, methanol added to water (from 0 to $100 \%$ ); flow-rate, $0.8 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Gradient rate: A, $3 \% / \mathrm{min} ; \mathrm{B}, 4 \% / \mathrm{min} ; \mathbf{C}, 5 \% / \mathrm{min} ; \mathrm{D}, 6 \% / \mathrm{min}$ (as shown in Fig. 8 A ).

| Gradlent | Naphthalene |  | Biphenyl Anthracen |  |  |  | Pyrene |  |  |  | Benzola]pyrene |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| function |  | Area"* | $t_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Area*" | $t^{*}{ }^{*}$ | Area"* | $i_{n}{ }^{*}$ | Area** | $t^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Area*" | $t_{R}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Area** |
| A | 1496 | 11158 | 1836 | 10324 | 2131 | 68230 | 2311 | 9278 | 2478 | 18466 | 2685 | 10798 |
| B | 1317 | 10806 | 1563 | 10701 | 1778 | 606882 | 1915 | 9710 | 2038 | 18906 | 2195 | 10755 |
| C | 1165 | 10876 | 1352 | 10330 | 1517 | 63698 |  | 9143 | 1717 | 17930 | 1839 | 10116 |
| D | 1079 | 15740 | 1229 | 10301 | 1372 | 64549 | 1464 | 9433 | 1542 | 18415 | 1645 | 10263 |
| Average |  | 10947 |  | 10414 |  | 65790 |  | 9396 |  | 18429 |  | 10483 |
| $\square$ |  | 152 |  | 166 |  | 1779 |  | 142 |  | 345 |  | 298 |
| Coefficient of variation |  | 1.39\% |  | 1.59\% |  | 2.70\% |  | $2.21 \%$ |  | 1.87\% |  | 2.84\% |
| ${ }^{*} t_{n}=$ retention time; $1 / 100 \mathrm{~min}$. <br> "* Area $==$ area counts measured with Chromatopac 1A. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TABLE VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFFECCTS OF INITIAL CONCENTRATION ON RETENTION TIME AND PEAK AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating conditions are as in Table V except for the initial concentration and gradient rate. Gradient rate, $5 \% /$ min. Gradient: A, C, $20-100 \%$; D, $30-100 \%$ (as shown in Fig. 8B). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gradient function | Naphthalene |  | Biphenyl |  | Anthracene |  | Pyrcue |  | Chrysene |  | Benzo[a]pyrene |  |
|  | $t_{H}{ }^{*}$ | Arca*" | $t_{n}{ }^{*}$ | Area" ${ }^{\text {" }}$ | $t_{R}{ }^{*}$ | Area* ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $t_{R}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Area** | $t^{\prime}{ }^{*}$ | Area** | $t_{R}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Arcan ${ }^{\text {na }}$ |
| A | 1165 | 10876 | 1352 | 10330 | 1517 | 63698 | 1622 | 9143 | 1717 | 17930 | 1839 | 10116 |
| B | 971 | 10887 | 1155 | 10284 | 1331 | 66915 | 1443 | 9174 | 1541 | 18213 | 1665 | 10558 |
| C | 794 | 11360 | 975 | 10135 | 1145 | 64380 | 1254 | 9027 | 1345 | 17985 | 1475 | 10425 |
| D | 587 | 11379 | 760 | 10106 | 932 | 64430 | 1042 | 8917 | 1140 | 18607 | 1270 | 10807 |
| Average |  | 11125.5 |  | 10213.8 |  | 14855,8 |  | 9065.3 |  | 18183.8 |  | 10476.5 |
| $\sigma$ |  | 244 |  | 95 |  | 280 |  | 101 |  | 266 |  | 249 |
| Cocfficient of variation |  | 2.194\% |  | 0.932\% |  | 1.887\% |  | 1.121\% |  | 1.465\% |  | 2.379\% |

[^0]EFFECTS OF GRADIENT RATE ON RETENTION TIME AND PEAK AREA
Operating conditions as in Table V except for the gradient function: A, $0-25 \%$ at $5 \% / \mathrm{min} ; 25-50 \%$ at $4 \% / \mathrm{min}, 50-75 \%$ at $3 \% / \mathrm{min}, 75-100 \%$ at $2 \% / \mathrm{minn}$; B, $0-25 \%$ at $7 \% / \mathrm{min}, 25-50 \%$ at $5 \% / \mathrm{min}, 50-75 \%$ at $3 \% / \mathrm{min}, 75-100 \%$ at $1 \% / \mathrm{min} ; \mathrm{C}, 0-25 \%$ at $2 \% / \mathrm{min}, 25-50 \%$ at $3 \% / \mathrm{min}, 50-100 \%$ at $4 \% / \mathrm{min}$ (as shown in Fig. 8C).

| Gradient finction | Naphthalène |  | Biphenyl |  | Antiracene |  | Pyrenc |  | Chrysene |  | Benzo[a]pyrene |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $t_{k}{ }^{*}$ | Area" ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $t_{R}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Arca** | $\ell_{R}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Area** | $t_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Area** | $t_{R}{ }^{n}$ | Area*" | $t_{\text {R }}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Areann |
| A | 1201 | 10771 | 1426 | 10097 | 1646 | 63658 | 1805 | 9134 | 1961 | 16758 | 2160 | 10885 |
| B | 1061 | 10392 | 1233 | 10037 | 1433 | 65231 | 1583 | 8785 | 1731 | 16326 | 1924 | 10340 |
| C | 1823 | 10623 | 2228 | 9908 | 2535 | 64191 | 2702 | 9289 | 2845 | 18498 | 3015 | 10324 |
| Average |  | 10595 |  | 10014 |  | 64360 |  | 9069 |  | 17194 |  | 10522 |
|  |  | 156 |  | 78.9 |  | 653 |  | 210 |  | 938 |  | 280 |
| Cocfficient of variation |  | 1.47\% |  | 0.78\% |  | 1.01\% |  | 2.32\% |  | 5.45\% |  | 2.66\% |

** Area $=$ arca counts measured with Chromatopac 1A.
EFFECTS OF METHANOL CONCENTRATION ON RETENTION TIME AND PEAK AREA
Column, Permaphase ODS, $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; temperature, $55^{\circ}$; detector, UV ( 254 nm ); carrier, methanol added to water.

| Methanol (\%) | Naphthalene |  | Biphenyl |  | Anliracene |  | Pyrene |  | Chrysene |  | Benza[a]pyrene |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $t_{R}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Area*" | $t_{1}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Area" ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | $t_{n}{ }^{n}$ | Arean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $t_{18}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Arca** | $t_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Arean" | $t_{R}{ }^{*}$ | Area** |
| 40 | 374 | 10779 | 613 | 10231 | 1253 | 69962 | 2239 | 8571 | 4453 | 16625 | 10506 | 9752 |
| 45 | 304 | 11033 | 447 | 10256 | 822 | 69995 | 1372 | 8804 | 2479 | 17176 | 5306 | 9685 |
| 50 | 255 | 10674 | 339 | 9934 | 559 | 69231 | 869 | 8829 | 1445 | 17363 | 2905 | 10337 |
| 55 | 218 | - "n* | 268 | -""* | 396 | 67884 | 569 | 8975 | 862 | 17261 | 1619 | 10389 |
| 60 | 196 | --1** | 226 | - ${ }^{\text {an* }}$ | 30.5 | -*" | 411 | 8498 | 574 | 18271 |  | 10547 |
| Average |  | 10804 |  | 10064 |  | 69035 |  | 8799 |  | 17344 |  | 10282 |
| $\sigma$ |  | 137 |  | 182 |  | 890 |  | 214 |  | 484 |  | 306 |
| Cocfficient of variation |  | 1.271\% |  | 1.819\% |  | 1.298\% |  | 2.430\% |  | 2.797\% |  | 2.939\% |

${ }^{n} t_{R}=$ retention time; $1 / 100 \mathrm{~min}$.
*" Area = arca counts measured with Chromatopac 1A.
${ }^{n 0 n}$ These peaks were not separated from neighbouring peaks.


Fig. 9. Influence of carrier composition on peak retention time and area. Operating conditions: column, Permaphase ODS, $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; temperature, $55^{\circ}$; mobile phase, methanol-water ( $0.8 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ); detector, UV 254. Peaks: 1, naphthalene; 2, biphenyl; 3, anthracene; 4, pyrene; 5, chrysene; 6 , benzo[a]pyrene.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{n} r_{n}=$ retention time; $1 / 100 \mathrm{~min}$.
    ** Area $=$ area counts mensured with Chromatopac 1A.

